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#### Abstract

We perform an exact enumeration of the order-preserving maps of fences (zig-zags) and crowns (cycles). From this we derive asymptotic results.


## 1. Introduction and Notation

Let $\mathcal{P}$ be a partially ordered set (poset). Then an order-preserving map of $\mathcal{P}$ is a function $\Phi: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ such that $\Phi(x) \leq \Phi(y)$ whenever $x \leq y$. Suppose that a sequence $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{P}_{3}, \ldots$ is given, where $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ is a poset on $i$ elements. Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{P})$ be the set of automorphisms of $\mathcal{P}$ and $\mathcal{M} t(\mathcal{P})$ the set of order-preserving maps of $\mathcal{P}$ into itself. In recent work on fixed points, Rival and Rutkowski [4] conjecture that

$$
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{P}_{i}\right)\right|}{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{P}_{i}\right)\right|}=0
$$

no matter how the $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ are chosen.
Taking this conjecture as motivation, Duffus, Rödl, Sands and Woodrow [2] considered the following question:

For which poset $\mathcal{P}$ on $n$ elements is $|\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{P})|$ least?
They study two families of posets. Let $n$ be an even number. The crown (cycle) $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ is the poset on $\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ where the only comparabilities are $1>2<3>4<\ldots<n-1>n<1$. (See Figure 1(a).) The fence (zigzag) $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ is the poset on $\{1,2,3, \ldots, n\}$ where the only comparabilities are $1>2<3>4<\ldots<n-1>n$. (See Figure 1(b).) Their conjecture is that the fence $\mathcal{F}_{n}$ has the fewest order-preserving maps. Using probabilistic arguments, they show that

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}\right)\right|}{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)\right|}=1+\sqrt{2} \text { and } \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{C}_{n+1}\right)\right|}{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)\right|}=1+\sqrt{2} .
$$

In this note, we use lattice path methods to obtain exact enumerative results for $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)$, compare these asymptotically, and show that in fact

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{C}_{n}\right)\right|}{\left|\mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right)\right|}=0
$$



Figure 1. A crown and a fence of order $n$

We intend to enumerate order-preserving maps of crowns and fences by putting them into correspondence with lattice paths (sequences of points in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$. Let $u=(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Then $j$ is called the altitude of $u$. We define a particular class of lattice paths for our purposes:

Let $\mathbf{u}=u_{1} u_{2} \cdots u_{n}$ be a sequence of points in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ such that
(i) $u_{1}=\left(1, j_{1}\right)$.
(ii) $u_{k+1}=u_{k}+\left(1, \sigma_{k}\right), \sigma_{k} \in\{-1,0,1\}$ for $0 \leq k<n$.

Then $\mathbf{u}$ is called a lattice path with origin $u_{1}$ and terminus $u_{n}$. A step in $\mathbf{u}$ is the difference $u_{i-1}-u_{i}$. The steps $\mathcal{R}=(1,1), \mathcal{L}=(1,0)$ and $\mathcal{F}=(1,-1)$ are called rises, levels and falls, respectively.

## 2. Bijections

The following lemma gives the correspondence between order-preserving maps of fences and lattice paths.

Lemma 1. Let $\Phi$ be an order-preserving map of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$. Then the mapping $\Omega: \mathcal{M}\left(\mathcal{F}_{n}\right) \rightarrow L_{n}$, defined by $\Omega(\Phi)=(1, \Phi(1)),(2, \Phi(2)), \ldots,(n, \Phi(n))$, is bijective.

Proof. The sequence $(1, \Phi(1)),(2, \Phi(2)), \ldots,(n, \Phi(n))$ is a lattice path. If $r$ is a maximal element of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$, then $r+1<r$. Thus we must have $\Phi(r) \geq \Phi(r+1)$. If $\Phi(r)$ is minimal, this means that $\Phi(r)=\Phi(r+1)$. The $r$ th step of our path is a level. Similarly, $\Phi(r-1) \mathcal{L} \Phi(r)$, so the previous step of our path was a level. We see that levels come in pairs, except possibly at the beginning of the path. Also, since 1 is a maximal element of $\mathcal{F}_{n}$, if $\Phi(1)$ is minimal, our lattice path begins with a level.

By a similar analysis, we can obtain a correspondence between orderpreserving maps of crowns and lattice paths.

Lemma 2. Let $\Phi$ be an order-preserving map of $\mathcal{C}_{n}$.

Proof. First of all, if $\Phi$ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{C}_{n}$, then it is determined by $\Phi(1)$ and $\Phi(2)$. ( $\Phi(1)$ must be one of the $n / 2$ maximal elements $1,3,5, \ldots, n-1$ and $\Phi(2)$ must be one of the 2 elements covered by $\Phi(1)$.) That is, there are $n$ automorphisms of $\mathcal{C}_{n}$. If $\Phi$ is not an automorphism, then suppose $\Phi(1)=r$. Consider the map $\left.\Psi: \mathcal{C}_{n}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{n}$ given by

This will be an order-preserving map of $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ such that $\Psi(1)=1$ and $n \notin \operatorname{im} \Phi$, or $\Psi(1)=2$ and $1 \notin \operatorname{im} \Phi$, depending on whether $\Phi(1)$ is maximal or minimal.

We see that $(1, \Psi(1)),(2, \Psi(2)), \ldots,(n, \Psi(n)),(n+1, \Psi(1))$ is a lattice path, and that the numbers of rises and falls in this lattice path are equal. If $\Phi(1)$ is minimal, the path begins with a level; subsequent levels will occur in pairs. The map $\Psi$ determines a 1 to $m$ correspondence between such lattice paths and order-preserving maps of $\mathcal{C}_{n}$ which are not automorphisms.

## 3. Generating Functions

Let $C(x)=\sum_{m \geq 1} c_{m} x^{m}$. Let $C_{1}(x)$ be the generating function for those order-preserving maps for which 1 maps to 1 and let $C_{2}(x)$ be the generating function for those order-preserving maps for which 1 maps to 2 . Then

$$
C(x)=x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(C_{1}(x)+C_{2}(x)\right)
$$

Order-preserving maps are in 1-1 correspondence with walks on the crown. Sits are allowed as steps in the walk, but must occur in pairs inside a walk. If 1 maps to 2 , the walk must begin and end with a sit.

Let $P(x)$ be the generating function for lattice paths on $2 m$ steps beginning and ending on the $x$-axis, but staying completely above the $x$-axis elsewhere.

If 1 maps to 1 , the walk might go completely "around" the crown in one of two directions. Otherwise, we can describe the walk in terms of lattice paths.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}(x) & =2(1-x)^{-1}+(\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \cup 2 P)^{*}=2(1-x)^{-1}+(1-x-2 P)^{-1} \\
C_{2}(x) & =\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \cup 2 P)^{*} \mathcal{L}=x(1-x-2 P)^{-1} \\
P(x) & =\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{L} \mathcal{L} \cup P)^{*} \mathcal{F}=x(1-x-P)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Upon rearranging this last equation, we obtain

$$
P^{2}+(x-1) P+x=0
$$

and hence

$$
P(x)=\frac{1-x-\sqrt{1-6 x+x^{2}}}{2}
$$

Substituting this into our expressions for $C, C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$, gives

$$
C(x)=\frac{2 x\left(t^{3}+2(1-x)^{3}\right)}{t^{3}(1-x)^{2}}, \text { where } t=\sqrt{1-6 x+x^{2}}
$$

Fence generating functions
No barriers:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m\left[x^{n-1}\right]\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)^{-1}+m\left[x^{n-2}\right]\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)^{-1}(1+x) \\
& \quad=1 / 2 n\left[x^{n-1}\right] \frac{1+x+x^{2}}{1-2 x-x^{2}}=1 / 2\left[x^{n}\right] x \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(\frac{x\left(1+x+x^{2}\right)}{1-2 x-x^{2}}\right) \\
& \quad=1 / 2\left[x^{n}\right] \frac{x(1-x)\left(1+3 x+5 x^{2}+x^{3}\right)}{\left(1-2 x x^{2}\right)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which after bisection of the series gives

$$
\left[x^{m}\right] \frac{4 x\left(1-x^{2}\right)}{\left(1-6 x+x^{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Hit zero:
$\Phi(1)=k$, odd: $(Q x)^{k}\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)^{-1}(1+x)$ where $Q$ is the generating function for the number of lattice paths in the upper half-plane with origin and terminus on the $x$-axis, and with levels occurring in pairs.

Letting $y=x^{2}$, we have

$$
Q=(1-y)^{-1} \frac{1}{1-P(1-y)^{-1}}=\frac{2}{1-y+t}
$$

where $t=\sqrt{1-6 y+y^{2}}$.
$\Phi(1)=k$, even: $x(Q x)^{k}\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)^{-1}(1+x)$
Summing over all $k$ gives

$$
F_{0}=\frac{x^{2}(1+x) Q\left(1+x^{2} Q\right)}{\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{2} Q^{2}\right)}
$$

Using similar arguments,

$$
F_{n+1}=\frac{x^{3}(1+x) Q(1+Q)}{\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)\left(1-x^{2} Q^{2}\right)}
$$

Thus,

$$
F_{0}+F_{n+1}=\frac{x^{2}(1+x)^{2} Q}{\left(1-2 x-x^{2}\right)(1-x Q)}
$$

Finally, after bisection of the above series, we obtain

$$
F=\frac{y\left(4-4 y^{2}-4 t+4 y t+2 t^{2}+t^{3}\right)}{t^{4}}
$$

$F=F_{\text {all }}-F_{0}-F_{n+1}$. Here we note that $F_{0} \cap F_{n+1}=\emptyset$, so we don't need inclusion-exclusion.
$F_{0}=(Q \mathcal{F})^{k}(\mathcal{R} \sqcup \mathcal{F} \sqcup \mathcal{L} \mathcal{L})^{*}$, where $Q=\left((\mathcal{L})^{*} P\right)^{*}(\mathcal{L L})^{*}$. A similar expression arises for $F_{n+1}$.

## 4. Asymptotics

Let $\alpha_{1}=3-2 \sqrt{2}$, Alpha $_{2}=3+2 \sqrt{2}$. These are the roots of the polynomial $1-6 y+y^{2}$. The generating function $F$ can be written as $A(y) B(y)$, where

$$
A(y)=\frac{y\left(4-4 y-4 t+4 y t+2 t^{2}+t^{3}\right)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1} y\right)^{2}} \text { and } B(y)=\frac{1}{\left(1-\alpha_{2} y\right)^{2}}
$$

The radii of convergence for $A$ and $B$ are $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{1}$, respectively. To apply [1, Thm. 2], we note that $b_{n}=\left[y^{n}\right] B(y)=(n+1) \alpha_{2}^{n}$, and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} b_{n-1} / b_{n}=1 / \alpha_{2} \neq 0$. It follows that $f_{n} \sim A\left(1 / \alpha_{2}\right) b_{n} \sim \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} n \alpha_{2}^{n}$.

The generating function $C$ can be written as $A(y) B(y)$, where

$$
A(y)=\frac{4 y(1-y)}{\left(1-\alpha_{1} y\right)^{3 / 2}} \text { and } B(y)=\frac{1}{\left(1-\alpha_{2} y\right)^{3 / 2}}
$$

The radii of convergence for $A$ and $B$ are $\alpha_{2}$ and $\alpha_{1}$, respectively. In this case, we have $b_{n}=\left[y^{n}\right] B(y)=2^{-3 / 4}\binom{-3 / 2}{n} \alpha_{2}^{n}$. This leads to $c_{n} \sim \frac{2^{1 / 4}}{\sqrt{\mathcal{P} i}} n^{1 / 2} \alpha_{2}^{n}$.
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## Appendix

5. Numbers of order-Preserving maps of $\mathcal{F}_{2 m}$ and $C_{2 m}$

| $m$ | Fences | Crowns |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 |  |
| 2 | 31 | 36 |
| 3 | 275 | 234 |
| 4 | 2,199 | 1,544 |
| 5 | 16,459 | 10,030 |
| 6 | 117,831 | 64,044 |
| 7 | 817,323 | 403,410 |
| 8 | 5,537,839 | 2,514,960 |
| 9 | 36,851,091 | 15,554,646 |
| 10 | 241,745,391 | 95,600,180 |
| 11 | 1,567,625,795 | 584,585,914 |
| 12 | 10,068,827,463 | 3,559,712,280 |
| 13 | 64,155,742,299 | 21,599,884,670 |
| 14 | 406,006,112,919 | 130,672,946,236 |
| 15 | 2,554,364,527,963 | 788,493,451,170 |
| 16 | 15,988,928,166,495 | 4,747,161,894,944 |
| 17 | 99,635,526,556,963 | 28,524,129,337,510 |
| 18 | 618,433,239,157,695 | 171,092,732,081,220 |
| 19 | 3,825,108,375,774,579 | 1,024,646,192,483,466 |
| 20 | 23,584,482,142,733,815 | 6,127,864,874,247,720 |

