

## DEJEAN'S CONJECTURE HOLDS FOR $N \geq 27^{*, **}$

JAMES CURRIE<sup>1</sup> AND NARAD RAMPERSAD<sup>1</sup>

**Abstract.** We show that Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n \geq 27$ . This brings the final resolution of the conjecture by the approach of Moulin Ollagnier within range of the computationally feasible.

**Mathematics Subject Classification.** 68R15.

Repetitions in words have been studied since the beginning of the previous century [15,16]. Recently, there has been much interest in repetitions with fractional exponent [1,3,6–8,10]. For rational  $r$  with  $1 < r \leq 2$ , a **fractional  $r$ -power** is a non-empty word  $w = xx'$  such that  $x'$  is the prefix of  $x$  of length  $(r - 1)|x|$ . For example, 010 is a  $3/2$ -power. A basic problem is that of identifying the repetitive threshold for each alphabet size  $n > 1$ :

What is the infimum of  $r$  such that an infinite sequence on  $n$  letters exists, not containing any factor of exponent greater than  $r$ ?

The infimum is called the **repetitive threshold** of an  $n$ -letter alphabet, denoted by  $RT(n)$ . Dejean's conjecture [6] is that

$$RT(n) = \begin{cases} 7/4, & n = 3 \\ 7/5, & n = 4 \\ n/(n-1) & n \neq 3, 4. \end{cases}$$

Thue[16], Dejean [6] and Pansiot[13], respectively established the values  $RT(2)$ ,  $RT(3)$ ,  $RT(4)$ . Moulin Ollagnier [12] verified Dejean's conjecture for  $5 \leq n \leq 11$ , and Mohammad-Noori and Currie [11] proved the conjecture for  $12 \leq n \leq 14$ .

Recently, Carpi [3] showed that Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n \geq 33$ . Carpi's result is computation-free, and resolving Dejean's conjecture is thus reduced to filling a finite gap. Conceptually, one would hope that the gap could now be filled

---

*Keywords and phrases.* Dejean's conjecture, repetitions in words, fractional exponent.

\* *The first author is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.*

\*\* *The second author is supported by an NSERC Postdoctoral Fellowship.*

<sup>1</sup> Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Winnipeg, 515 Portage Avenue, Winnipeg, Manitoba R3B 2E9, Canada; [j.currie@uwinnipeg.ca](mailto:j.currie@uwinnipeg.ca)

from below, using the methods of [11,12]. Since these approaches are computationally intensive, optimizing Carpi's result is important. The present authors improved part of Carpi's constructions to show that Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n \geq 30$  (see [4]). In the present note we show that in fact Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n \geq 27$ .

**Remark 1.** Some months after the first draft of this paper, its goal has been vindicated: the final resolution of the conjecture via methods of Moulin Ollagnier becomes computationally feasible; in a recent paper the present authors proved Dejean's conjecture by resolving computationally the cases  $n \leq 26$ . Dejean's conjecture is correct! (See [5] and also [14] for another independent proof.)

The following definitions are from [3]: for any non-negative integer  $r$  let  $A_r = \{1, 2, \dots, r\}$ . Fix  $n \geq 27$ . Let  $m = \lfloor (n-3)/6 \rfloor$ . If  $a$  is a letter, then let  $|v|_a$  denote the number of occurrences of  $a$  in the word  $v$ . Let  $\ker \psi = \{v \in A_m^* \mid \forall a \in A_m, 4 \text{ divides } |v|_a\}$ . (We use this as a definition; it is in fact the assertion of Carpi's Lem. 9.1.) A word  $v \in A_m^+$  is a  **$\psi$ -kernel repetition** if it has period  $q$  and a prefix  $v'$  of length  $q$  such that  $v' \in \ker \psi$  and  $(n-1)(|v|+1) \geq nq-3$ . In [4] we introduced the following definition: if  $v$  has period  $q$  and its prefix  $v'$  of length  $q$  is in  $\ker \psi$ , we say that  $q$  is a **kernel period** of  $v$ .

Let  $B = \{0, 1\}$  and let  $S_n$  be the permutation group on  $n$  elements. Consider the morphism  $\phi : B^* \rightarrow S_n$  generated by

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(0) &= (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \cdots \ (n-1)) \\ \phi(1) &= (1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \cdots \ (n-1) \ n).\end{aligned}$$

This map is due to Pansiot [13]. A word  $u \in B^*$  is a  **$k$ -stabilizing word** if  $\phi(u)$  fixes  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, k\}$ . The set of  $k$ -stabilizing words (for fixed  $n$ ) is denoted by  $\text{Stab}_n(k)$ . Note that if  $i < j$  then  $\text{Stab}_n(j) \subseteq \text{Stab}_n(i)$ .

A map  $\gamma_n : B^* \rightarrow A_n^*$  is defined by

$$\gamma_n(b_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell) = a_1 a_2 \dots a_\ell$$

where  $a_i \phi(b_1 b_2 \dots b_\ell) = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq \ell$ .

Carpi introduces a morphism  $f : A_m^* \rightarrow B^*$  generated by

$$\begin{aligned}f(1) &= y^p x (101)^{2m} \\ f(a) &= y^p x (101)^{2m-2a} 010 (101)^{2a-1}\end{aligned}$$

where  $2 \leq a \leq m$ ,  $p = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ ,  $y$  is the suffix of  $(01)^n$  of length  $n-1$  and  $x$  is the suffix of  $y$  of length  $|y| - 6m$ .

The concepts of so-called **short repetitions** and **kernel repetitions** were introduced by Moulin Ollagnier [12]. His work is complicated by the fact that his short repetitions are words over  $A_n$ , while his kernel repetitions are words over  $B$  (although they code words over  $A_n$  via Pansiot's map). Without going into the details, we recall that he reduced the construction of an infinite word over  $n$  letters

attaining threshold  $n/(n - 1)$  to avoiding both short repetitions and kernel repetitions. Moulin Ollagnier's binary words were fixed points of morphisms. In [11], a technique was introduced for dealing separately with short repetitions and kernel repetitions; the binary words given there can be viewed as being produced by HD0L's: they have the form  $g(h^\omega(0))$  where all words coded by  $g(B^*)$  avoid short repetitions, and each  $h$  is chosen to eliminate kernel repetitions.

Carpi's work follows essentially this strategy. The lemmas of his paper show that  $f(B^*)$  avoids short repetitions if  $n \geq 30$ . For  $m = 5$  (corresponding to  $n \geq 33$ ) he produces an infinite word  $w_5$  over  $A_m$  such that  $f(w_5)$  avoids kernel repetitions. The exact statement of this division of work into short vs. kernel repetitions is the following:

**Proposition 2** ([3], Prop. 3.2). *Let  $v \in B^*$ . If a factor of  $\gamma_n(v)$  has exponent larger than  $n/(n - 1)$ , then  $v$  has a factor  $u$  satisfying one of the following conditions:*

- (i)  $u \in \text{Stab}_n(k)$  and  $0 < |u| < k(n - 1)$  for some  $k \leq n - 1$ ;
- (ii)  $u$  is a kernel repetition of order  $n$ .

In our previous note, we improved only the second part of Carpi's construction; he had shown that for  $n \geq 30$ , no factor  $u$  of  $f(A_m^*)$  satisfied condition (i) above. As Carpi therefore states at the beginning of Section 9 of [3]:

By the results of the previous sections, at least in the case  $n \geq 30$ , in order to construct an infinite word on  $n$  letters avoiding factors of any exponent larger than  $n/(n - 1)$ , it is sufficient to find an infinite word  $w$  on the alphabet  $A_m$  avoiding  $\psi$ -kernel repetitions.

For  $m = 5$ , Carpi was able to produce such an infinite word, based on a paperfolding construction. He thus established Dejean's conjecture for  $n \geq 33$ . The present authors refined this by constructing an infinite word  $w_4$  on the alphabet  $A_4$  avoiding  $\psi$ -kernel repetitions. This established Dejean's conjecture for  $n \geq 30$ . We remark that for  $30 \leq n \leq 32$  the word on  $A_n$  verifying Dejean's conjecture for  $n$  is  $\gamma_n(v)$ , where  $v = f(w_4)$ .

In the present note, we improve on the first aspect of Carpi's attack, by showing that for  $27 \leq n \leq 29$ , no factor  $u$  of  $v = f(w_4)$  satisfies (i) above. This implies that Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n \geq 27$ . Since  $f$  is  $r$ -uniform where  $r = (p + 1)(n - 1)$ , to show that (i) holds for  $v$  it suffices to check that no factor  $u \in f(B^3)$  satisfies (i). In principle, this involves considering all factors of  $f(B^3)$  of length less than  $(n - 1)^2$ . However, we shorten this computation considerably by combining several of Carpi's lemmas.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose  $n \geq 18$ . Suppose that  $u \in f(A_m^*) \cap \text{Stab}_n(k)$  and  $|u| < k(n - 1)$  for some  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$ . Then  $|u| = r(n - 1)$  for some  $r$ ,  $p + 1 \leq r < k \leq 16$ .*

*Proof.* Propositions and lemmas referenced in this proof are in [3]. By Proposition 5.1,  $k \geq 4$  so that  $u \in \text{Stab}_n(4)$ . It then follows from Proposition 6.3 that  $|u| \geq (p + 1)(n - 1)$ . Since  $|u| < k(n - 1)$ , we deduce that  $k > p + 1$ . From  $n \geq 18$  this means that  $k > 10$ , so that surely  $u \in \text{Stab}_n(7)$ . Applying Lemma 7.1, we see

that  $|u|$  is divisible by  $n - 1$ . We may thus write  $|u| = r(n - 1)$ ,  $p + 1 \leq r < k$ . By the contrapositive of Proposition 7.2,  $u \notin \text{Stab}_n(17)$ . It follows that  $k \leq 16$ .  $\square$

We verify that Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n = 27, 28, 29$  by exhaustively examining factors  $u$  of  $f(B^3)$  of length  $r(n - 1)$  for  $p + 1 \leq r \leq 15$ , and verifying that such  $u$  are not in  $\text{Stab}_n(k)$  for any  $k$ ,  $r < k \leq 16$ . For  $n = 28, 29$ , the check only involves  $r = 15$ ,  $k = 16$ . For  $n = 27$ , we also must consider  $r = 14$ . Code written in SAGE running on a PC performed the necessary verifications in about half an hour. The code is available at [www.uwinnipeg.ca/~currie/kstab.sage](http://www.uwinnipeg.ca/~currie/kstab.sage).

## REFERENCES

- [1] F.J. Brandenburg, Uniformly growing  $k$ -th powerfree homomorphisms. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **23** (1983) 69–82.
- [2] J. Brinkhuis, Non-repetitive sequences on three symbols. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford* **34** (1983) 145–149.
- [3] A. Carpi, On Dejean's conjecture over large alphabets. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **385** (2007) 137–151.
- [4] J.D. Currie and N. Rampersad, Dejean's conjecture holds for  $n \geq 30$ . *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **410** (2009) 2885–2888.
- [5] J.D. Currie, N. Rampersad, A proof of Dejean's conjecture, <http://arxiv.org/pdf/0905.1129v3>.
- [6] F. Dejean, Sur un théorème de Thue. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **13** (1972) 90–99.
- [7] L. Ilie, P. Ochem and J. Shallit, A generalization of repetition threshold. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **345** (2005) 359–369.
- [8] D. Krieger, On critical exponents in fixed points of non-erasing morphisms. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **376** (2007) 70–88.
- [9] M. Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications 17. Addison-Wesley, Reading (1983).
- [10] F. Mignosi and G. Pirillo, Repetitions in the Fibonacci infinite word. *RAIRO-Theor. Inf. Appl.* **26** (1992) 199–204.
- [11] M. Mohammad-Noori and J.D. Currie, Dejean's conjecture and Sturmian words. *Eur. J. Combin.* **28** (2007) 876–890.
- [12] J. Moulin Ollagnier, Proof of Dejean's conjecture for alphabets with 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 and 11 letters. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **95** (1992) 187–205.
- [13] J.-J. Pansiot, À propos d'une conjecture de F. Dejean sur les répétitions dans les mots. *Discrete Appl. Math.* **7** (1984) 297–311.
- [14] M. Rao, Last cases of Dejean's Conjecture, <http://www.labri.fr/perso/rao/publi/dejean.ps>.
- [15] A. Thue, Über unendliche Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiana* **7** (1906) 1–22.
- [16] A. Thue, Über die gegenseitige Lage gleicher Teile gewisser Zeichenreihen. *Norske Vid. Selsk. Skr. I. Mat. Nat. Kl. Christiana* **1** (1912) 1–67.

Communicated by J. Berstel.

Received July 9, 2009. Accepted September 2, 2009.