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**ABSTRACT**

Persistent homology is a powerful tool in topological data analysis (TDA) to compute, study and encode efficiently multi-scale topological features and is being increasingly used in digital image classification. The topological features represent number of connected components, cycles, and voids that describe the shape of data. Persistent homology extracts the birth and death of these topological features through a filtration process. The lifespan of these features can represented using persistent diagrams (topological signatures). Cubical homology is a more efficient method for extracting topological features from a 2D image and uses a collection of cubes to compute the homology, which fits the digital image structure of grids. In this research, we propose a cubical homology-based algorithm for extracting topological features from 2D images to generate their topological signatures. Additionally, we propose a score, which measures the significance of each of the sub-simplices in terms of persistence. Also, gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) and contrast limited adapting histogram equalization (CLAHE) are used as a supplementary method for extracting features. Machine learning techniques are then employed to classify images using the topological signatures. Among the eight tested algorithms with six published image datasets with varying pixel sizes, classes, and distributions, our experiments
demonstrate that cubical homology-based machine learning with deep residual network (ResNet 1D) and Light Gradient Boosting Machine (lightGBM) shows promise with the extracted topological features.
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Chapter 1

Introduction

The origin of topological data analysis (TDA) and persistent homology can be traced back to H. Edelsbrunner, D. Letscher and A. Zomorodian [23, 24]. More recently, TDA has emerged as a growing field in applied algebraic topology to infer relevant features for complex data [12]. One of the fundamental methods in computational topology is persistent homology [70, 10], which is a powerful tool to compute, study and encode efficiently multiscale topological features of nested families of simplicial complexes and topological spaces [22]. Simplices are building blocks used to study the shape of data and a simplicial complex is its higher level counterpart. The process of shape construction is commonly referred to as a filtration [71]. There are many forms of filtrations and a good survey is presented in [2]. Persistent homology extracts the birth and death of topological features throughout a filtration built from a dataset [28]. In other words, persistent homology is a concise summary representation of topological features in data and is represented in a persistent diagram or barcode. This is important since it tracks changes and makes it possible to analyze data at multiple scales since data structure associated with topological features is a multiset which makes learning harder. Persistent diagrams are then mapped into metric spaces with additional structure useful for machine learning tasks [1]. Application of TDA in machine learning (also known as TDA pipeline) in several fields is well-documented [12]. The TDA pipeline consists of using data (e.g., images, signals) as input and then filtration operations are applied to obtain persistence diagrams. Subsequently, ML methods such as support vector machines, tree classifiers are applied to the persistent diagrams.

In [28], a random forest classifier was used to classify the well-known MNIST image dataset using the voxel structure to obtain topological features. However, it
has been shown that mapping of topological signatures to a representation necessary for machine learning is pre-defined which is a limitation. The success of deep learning in computer vision problems, has led to its use in deep networks that can handle barcodes. Hofer et. al. used a persistence diagram as a topological signature and compute a parametrized projection from the persistence diagram, then leverage it during training of the network. The output of this process is stable when using the 1-Wasserstein distance. Classification of 2D object shapes and social network graphs were successfully demonstrated by the authors. In persistent diagrams were used with neural network classifiers in graph classification problems. Persistent barcodes were used to classify brain activation patterns in rs-fMRI video frames. The topological and geometric structures underlying data are often represented as point clouds. More recently, multiclass classification of point cloud datasets was discussed in.

However, it has been shown that the implementations of persistent homology (of simplicial complexes) is inefficient for computer vision since it requires excessive computational resources due to the formulations based on triangulations. To mitigate the problem of complexity, cubical homology was introduced which allows direct application of its structure. Simply, cubical homology uses a collection of cubes to compute the homology, which fits the digital image structure of grids. Since there is neither skeletonization nor triangulation in the computation of cubical homology, it has advantages in the fast segmentation of images for extracting features. This aspect of cubical homology is the motivation for its application in the feature engineering process of this thesis.

1.1 Problem Definition and Proposed Approach

Since machine learning models rely on accurate feature representations, multiscale representation of features are becoming increasingly important in applications involving computer vision and image analysis. Persistence homology is able to bridge the gap between geometry and topology and persistent-homology based machine learning models have been used in various areas including image classification and analysis. In this thesis, we address the problem of construction of feature vectors based on cubical homology for different types of 2D images with varying pixel sizes, classes and distributions. We then study the effect of these topological features on selected machine learning models. The comparative study is meant to give insights into the
application of cubical homology for classification of different types of images as well as the computational challenges with the topological signatures for the selected image datasets. Fig. 1.1 illustrates our proposed approach. The first two steps form the core of this thesis, namely, the generation of 1D topological signatures using a threshold score. This score allows us to filter out low persistence features (or noise).

Figure 1.1: Classification pipeline

1.2 Contributions

Our contributions are as follows:

- We propose a cubical homology-based algorithm for extracting topological features from 2D images to generate their topological signatures.

- We propose a score, which is used as measure of the significance of the subcomplex calculated from the persistence diagram. Also, we use gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) and contrast limited adapting histogram equalization (CLAHE) for getting additional image features, in an effort to improve the classification performance.
• We provide a comparative study of eight well-known machine learning algorithms using the extracted topological features from six different published image datasets.

1.3 Thesis Layout

The rest of this thesis organized as follows:

Chapter 2 provides a background of other topological approaches in image and graph classification problems.

Chapter 3 introduces the mathematical framework for understanding persistent homology. Basic definitions for graph theory, simplicial homology, and cubical homology are given.

Chapter 4 discusses the process of feature engineering. Specifically, we introduce the notion of score in this chapter.

Chapter 5 introduces six image datasets which is used as benchmark for evaluating the performance of proposed method.

Chapter 6 introduces machine learning algorithms briefly. Also, we provide the evaluation criteria, and discuss the classification results.

Chapter 7 concludes the thesis, summarizes the work done and provides possible future research directions.
Chapter 2

Related Works

Topological approach is widely used in machine learning for image classification and graph classification. In particular, persistence diagrams (PD) are common tools to extract features and are used in a number of different ways. In this chapter, we discuss a few papers that use persistence diagrams in image classification as well as in science, to model and analyze geometric structures.

2.1 Direct Use of Persistence Diagrams

2.1.1 Analyzing force networks

In [42], PDs are used in quantifying differences between force networks derived from particulate systems. Here, force networks are designed to model interactions between particles typically derived from either experiments or simulations. For the problem of analyzing network, it is important to find a connection between particles and backbone of the force chains. Such networks are characterized by their critical parameters, which are difficult to use directly because these parameters contain important geometric structures of force distributions between particles. PDs of the network are able to extract concise information of network components using Betti numbers. PDs define a set of points $FN(f, \theta)$ that exceeds the threshold $\theta$ of the force field $f$. The threshold moves from high to low to calculate persistent homology thereby revealing the geometric features.
2.1.2 Analyzing Molecular Dynamics

Persistence diagrams are also used in the case of analyzing polyatomic structure such as molecular liquid, granular materials, and metallic glasses. The authors in [50] discuss the relationship between medium-range order and short-range order of amorphous materials. Here, PDs are used to extract structures of these materials such as size and shape of crystalline materials. In this paper, topological properties (birth and death) are computed from a persistence diagram $\mathcal{A}$, where $D_n(\mathcal{A})$ is constructed which represents a collection of pairs ($birth$, $death$) of the many body atomic structure in the glass. A normalized distribution for $D_n$ is then calculated. PDs have an advantage because they reduce the size of data by summarizing their geometric features.

2.2 Machine Learning from Persistence Diagrams

2.2.1 Classical Methods

In [21], microvascular patterns in endoscopy images can be categorized as regular and irregular. Furthermore, there are three types in regular surface of microvascular; oval, tubular, and villous. To classify these patterns, persistent homology plays a important role by deriving topological features with persistence diagrams. In this paper, per $q$-th norm of $p$-th diagram is computed as,

$$N_q = \left[ \sum_{A \in Dgm_p(f)} \text{pers}(A)^q \right]^{\frac{1}{q}},$$

where $Dgm_p(f)$ denotes the $p$-th diagram of $f$ and $\text{pers}(A)$ is persistence of a point $A$ in $Dgm_p(f)$. Since $N_q$ is a norm of $p$-th Betti number with restriction (or threshold) $s$, it will get $p$-th Betti number of $M_s$ where $M$ is the rectangle covered by pixels. Then, $M$ is mapped to $\mathbb{R}$ by signed distance function. A naive Bayesian learning method which combines the results of several Adaboost classifiers is then used to classify the images. The analysis also concludes that only a few of geometric and topological features are responsible for a large majority of decisions.
2.2.2 Multi-Scale Kernels for Machine Learning

The authors in [61] point out that persistence diagrams are hard to use directly in a class of learning techniques such as Support Vector Machines or Principal Component Analysis which use kernel functions to redefine the Hilbert space structure. This paper introduces a multi-scale kernel for persistence diagrams which is based on scale space theory [34]. This kernel is defined on $L_2$-valued feature map and satisfies Lipschitz continuous which implies that it maintains the stability property of persistent homology. (See Figure 2.2.) The focus is on stability of persistent homology since any occurrence of small changes in the input, affects both the 1-Wasserstein distance and persistent diagrams. Experiments on two benchmark datasets for 3D shape classification/retrieval and texture recognition are discussed.

Figure 2.1: The superposition of two persistence diagrams. Figure retrieved from [21].

Figure 2.2: Construction of kernel from sample data. Figure retrieved from [61].
### 2.2.3 Filtration Methods for the TDA pipeline

Among the multiple filtration methods for computing persistent homology, some filtration methods including Vietoris-Rips filtration regard pixels as point cloud and others use the structure of pixels directly [28]. This work discusses how to use topological features with different filtration methods in the context of the MNIST digits image dataset using the random forest classifier (See Figure 2.3).

![Filtration Methods](image)

Figure 2.3: Grayscale image obtained from different filtration methods. Figure retrieved from [28]

### 2.2.4 Deep Learning Methods

Persistent homology is widely used in topological data analysis, however, its structure of a multiset makes learning harder. Hofer et. al [33] construct a kernel for a persistence diagram as a topological signature. The characteristics of the kernel is that it satisfies some properties that are *Lipschitz continuous* and *differentiable*. A parametrical projection from the persistence diagram is computed, and then leveraged during the training of the deep network. The output of this process is stable when it comes to the 1-Wasserstein distance. This is demonstrated in the classification of 2D object shapes and social network graphs.
2.2.5 Persistence as Feature Vector

Although image classification tasks involve grayscaling of images in preprocessing, it is possible to utilize the RGB channel without grayscaling. In [17], RGB intensity values of each pixel of an image is mapped to the point cloud $P \in \mathbb{R}^5$ and then deriving a feature vector. Computing and arranging the persistence of point cloud data by descending order makes it possible to understand persistence of features (See Figure 2.4). The extracted topological features and the traditional image processing features are used in both vector based supervised classification and deep network based classification experiments on the CIFAR-10 image data set.

![Figure 2.4: Point cloud and its persistence diagrams. Figure retrieved from [17]](image)

2.2.6 Betti Curves for classification of chaotic time series datasets

In [67], the authors apply topological data analysis to the classification of time series data. A 1D convolutional neural network is used where the input data is a Betti sequence. Persistent homology is used to generate Betti sequences from what are known as quasi-attractors. A quasi-attractor represents the set of delay vectors and encodes transition rules of the underlying system.

2.3 Other Approaches

2.3.1 Vector Summaries of Persistence Diagram

Although persistent homology is useful tool to identify geometric signatures in many cases, it is hard to handle vector spaces in terms of distances [7]. Vector summaries of persistence diagram is a technique that transforms a persistence diagram into vectors and summarizes a function by its minimum through a pooling technique. The authors present a novel pooling within the bag-of-words approach that shows
significant improvement in shape classification and recognition problems with the Non-Rigid 3D Human Models SHREC 2014 dataset.

### 2.3.2 Betti Numbers in resting state brain network analysis

In topological data analysis, Betti numbers represent counts of the number of homology groups such as points, cycles, and so on. In [15], similarity of brain networks of twins are measured using Betti numbers. Specifically, the first Betti number represents the count of the number of cycles and is significant in network analysis. Figure 2.5 is an example of network, by the authors. The significance of the number of cycles is evaluated using the Kolmogorov–Smirnov (KS) distance.

![Figure 2.5: Example of network and its topological analysis. Figure retrieved from [15]](image)

**2.3.3 Betti Numbers in resting state (rs-fMRI) videos**

In [19, 20] persistent barcodes were used to visualize brain activation patterns in resting state functional magnetic resonance imaging (rs-fMRI) video frames. The authors use a geometric Betti number that counts the total number of connected cycles forming a vortex (nested, usually non concentric, connected cycles) derived from the triangulation of brain activation regions. The vortexes correspond to the changing activation areas in the video frames. These activation areas represent intrinsic brain activity that contains reproducible temporal sequences (lag structures). The authors found that persistent, recurring blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) signals in triangulated rs-fMRI video frames display previously undetected topological findings,
Chapter 3

Mathematical Foundations

In this chapter, we give basic definitions for simplicial, cubical and persistent homology. A simplicial complex is a space or an object that is built from a union of points, edges, triangles, tetrahedra, and higher-dimensional polytopes. Homology theory is in the domain of algebraic topology related to the connectivity in multi-dimensional shapes.

3.1 Simplicial Homology

Graphs are mathematical structures used to study pairwise relationships between objects and entities.

Definition 1. A graph is a pair of sets, \( G = (V, E) \), where \( V \) is the set of vertices (or nodes) and \( E \) is a set of edges.

Let \( S \) be a subset of a group \( G \). Then the subgroup generated by \( S \), denoted \( \langle S \rangle \), is the subgroup of all elements of \( G \) that can be expressed as the finite operation of elements in \( S \) and their inverses. For example, the set of all integers, \( \mathbb{Z} \) can be expressed by operation of elements \( \{1\} \) so \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the subgroup generated by \( \{1\} \).

Definition 2. A rank of a group \( G \) is the size of the smallest subset that generates \( G \).

For instance, since \( \mathbb{Z} \) is the subgroup generated by \( \{1\} \), rank(\( \mathbb{Z} \))=1.

Definition 3. A simplex complex on a set \( V \) is a family of arbitrary-cardinality subsets of \( V \) closed under the subset operation, which means if a set \( S \) is in the family,
all subsets of $S$ are also in the family. An element of the family is called a *simplex* or *face*.

**Definition 4.** Also, $p$-simplex $[62]$ can be defined to the convex hull of $p + 1$ affinely independent points $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_p \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

For example, in a graph, 0-simplex is a point, 1-simplex is an edge, 2-simplex is a triangle, 3-simplex is a tetrahedron and so on. (See Figure 3.1 (26)).

![Figure 3.1: Examples of $p$-simplex for $p = 0, 1, 2, 3$ in tetrahedron. A 0-simplex is a point, a 1-simplex is an edge which convex hull of two points, a 2-simplex is a triangle which convex hull of three distinct points and a 3-simplex is tetrahedron where the convex hull of four points.](image)

### 3.1.1 Chain, Boundary, and Cycle

To extend simplicial homology to persistent homology, the notion of *chain*, *boundary*, and *cycle* is necessary [54].

**Definition 5.** A $p$-chain $[62]$ is a subset of $p$-simplices in a simplicial complex $K$. Assume $K$ is a triangle. Then, a 1-chain is a subset of 1-simplices, in other words, a subset of the three edges.

**Definition 6.** A boundary $[62]$, generally denoted $\partial$, of $p$-simplex is the set of $(p - 1)$-simplices faces.

For example, a triangle is a 2-simplex, so the boundary of a triangle is a set of 1-simplices which are the edges. Therefore, the boundary of the triangle is the three edges.

**Definition 7.** A cycle $[62]$ can be defined using the definitions of chain and boundary. A $p$-cycle $c$ is a $p$-chain with empty boundary. Put it simply, it is a path where the starting point and destination point is the same.
3.2 Cubical Homology

Cubical homology [4] is efficient since it allows direct use of the cubical structure of the image whereas simplicial theory requires increasing the complexity of data. While the simplicial homology is built with the triangle and its higher-dimensional structure such as tetrahedron, cubical homology consists of cubes. In cubical homology, each cube has a unit size and the $n$-cube represents its dimension. For example, 0-cubes are points, 1-cubes are lines with unit length, 2-cubes are unit squares, and so on.

**Definition 8.** 0-cubes $[41, 35, 36]$ can be defined as an interval,

$$[m] = [m, m], \ m \in \mathbb{Z},$$

which generate subsets $I \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$I = [m, m + 1], \ m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$ 

Therefore, $I$ is called a 1-cube, or *elementary interval*.

**Definition 9.** A $n$-cube $[41, 35, 36]$ can be expressed as a product of elementary intervals as

$$Q = I_1 \times I_2 \times \cdots \times I_n \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where $Q$ indicates $n$-cube, $I_i (i = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ is an elementary interval.

A *$d$-dimensional image* is a map $I : I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{R}$.

**Definition 10.** A *pixel* $[41, 35, 36]$ can be defined an element $v \in I$, where $d = 2$. If $d > 2$, $v$ is called a *voxel*.

**Definition 11.** $[41, 35, 36]$ Let $I(v)$ be *intensity* or *greyscale* value. Also, in the case of *binary images*, we consider a map $B : I \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^d \to \{0, 1\}$.

A voxel is represented by a $d$-cube and with all of its faces added, we have

$$I'(\sigma) := \min_{\text{face of } \tau} I(\tau).$$

Let $K$ be the cubical complex built from the image $I$, and let

$$K_i := \{\sigma \in K | I'(\sigma) \leq i\},$$
be the \( i \)-th sublevel set of \( K \). Then, the set \( \{ K_i \}_{i \in \text{Im}(I)} \) defines a filtration of the cubical complexes. So, the pipeline to filtration from image with cubical complex is as follows:

Image \( \rightarrow \) Cubical complex \( \rightarrow \) Sublevel sets \( \rightarrow \) Filtration

Also, \textit{chain}, \textit{boundary}, and \textit{cycle} in cubical homology can be defined by the same manner as in section 3.1.1.

### 3.3 Persistent Homology

In topology, there are subcomplices of complex \( K \) and \textit{cubes} are created (\textit{birth}) and destroyed (\textit{death}) by filtration. Assume that \( K^i \) (\( 0 \leq i \leq n \), \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \)) is a subcomplex of filtered complex \( K \) such that

\[
\emptyset \subseteq K^0 \subseteq K^1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq K^n = K,
\]

and \( Z_k^i \), \( B_k^i \) are its corresponding cycle group and boundary group.

\textbf{Definition 12.} Persistent homology \[25\] can be defined as

\[
\mathcal{H}_k = \frac{Z_k}{B_k}
\]

\textbf{Definition 13.} A persistence \[25\] is a lifetime of these attributes based on the filtration method used.

One can plot the birth and death times of the topological features as a barcode also known as \textit{persistence barcode} shown in Figure 3.2. This diagram graphically represents the topological signature of the data. Illustration of persistence is useful when detecting change in terms of topology and geometry, which plays a crucial role in supervised machine learning \[46\].
Figure 3.2: An example of persistent homology for grayscale image.  (a) A given image, (b) A matrix of gray level of given image, (c) the filtered cubical complex of the image, (d) the persistence barcode according to (c). This figure is taken from [54].
Chapter 4

Feature Engineering

In this chapter, we describe the feature engineering process that was used in this thesis. The main purpose of this process is to obtain a 1-dimensional array from each image in the dataset. Each point from the persistence diagram plays a significant role in the extraction of the topological features. Also, the Gray level co-occurrence matrix (GLCM) supports these topological features as additional signatures. Because every image dataset is not identical in size and some images have very high resolution, resizing every image to 200x200 and converting them to gray-scale guarantees a relatively constant duration of extraction (about 4 seconds) regardless of its original size.

Algorithm 1 gives the method for extracting topological features from a dataset. In this algorithm, $\beta_0$ and $\beta_1$ are Betti numbers derived from Eqn. 3.1 where the dimension of $i^{th}$ homology is called the $i^{th}$ Betti number of $K$. $\beta_0$ gives the number of connected components and $\beta_1$ gives the number of holes. Betti numbers represent the count of the number of topological features. The number of these features in each
Algorithm 1: Extraction of Topological Features

1. \( N \leftarrow \) number of dataset;
2. \textbf{for} \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N \) \textbf{do}
3. \( \text{img} \leftarrow \) load \( i^{th} \) image from dataset;
4. \( \text{img} \leftarrow \) resize \( \text{img} \) to (200, 200) and convert to grayscale;
5. \( PD_0 \leftarrow \) set of points of \( \beta_0 \) in persistence diagram of \( \text{img} \) with cubical complex;
6. \( PD_1 \leftarrow \) set of points of \( \beta_1 \) in persistence diagram of \( \text{img} \) with cubical complex;
7. \( PD_0 \leftarrow \) sort \( PD_0 \) in descending order of \textit{persistence};
8. \( PD_1 \leftarrow \) sort \( PD_1 \) in descending order of \textit{persistence};
9. \( d_i \leftarrow \) project each point in \( PD_0 \) to \([0, 1]\);
10. \( d_i \leftarrow d_i + \) project each point in \( PD_1 \) to \([1, 2]\);
11. \( f\text{img} \leftarrow \) adapt CLAHE filter to \( \text{img} \);
12. \( fPD_0 \leftarrow \) set of points of \( \beta_0 \) in persistence diagram of \( f\text{img} \) with cubical complex;
13. \( fPD_1 \leftarrow \) set of points of \( \beta_1 \) in persistence diagram of \( f\text{img} \) with cubical complex;
14. \( fPD_0 \leftarrow \) sort \( fPD_0 \) in descending order of \textit{persistence};
15. \( fPD_1 \leftarrow \) sort \( fPD_1 \) in descending order of \textit{persistence};
16. \( d_i \leftarrow d_i + \) project each point in \( fPD_0 \) to \([0, 1]\);
17. \( d_i \leftarrow d_i + \) project each point in \( fPD_1 \) to \([1, 2]\);
18. \( d_i \leftarrow d_i + \) convert \( \text{img} \) to GLCM with distances \((1, 2, 3)\), directions \( (0^\circ, 45^\circ, 90^\circ, 135^\circ)\), and properties \((\text{energy, homogeneity})\);

\textbf{Output:} \( D(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_N) \)

4.1 Projection of Persistence Diagrams

After filtration by cubical complex, we are ready to construct a persistence diagram. The \( d \)th persistence diagram, \( D_d \) contains all of the \( d \)-dimensional topological information. These are series of points with a pair of \((\text{birth, death})\), where \textit{birth} indicates the time at which the topological features were created and the \textit{death} gives the time at which these features are destroyed. From here, \textit{persistence} is defined using the
definition of \textit{birth} and \textit{death} as,

\[ \text{pers}(\text{birth}, \text{death}) := \text{death} - \text{birth}, \text{ where } (\text{birth}, \text{death}) \in \mathcal{D}_d. \] (4.1)

Then, a low-persistence feature is treated as having a low importance, or 'noise' whereas high-persistence features are regarded as 'real' features [25]. However, using persistence as a result of projection of a topological feature to a 1-dimensional value is inadequate, because it is impossible to distinguish the features which have the same persistence but different values for \textit{birth}. Therefore, we propose a metric (\textit{score}), to compensate for this limitation of persistence as

\[
\text{score}_d(\text{birth}, \text{death}) :=
\begin{cases}
0 & \text{if } \text{persistence} < \text{threshold} \\
d + \left( \frac{e^{\sin\frac{\text{death}}{255}\pi} - 1}{e - 1} \right)^3 - \left( \frac{e^{\sin\frac{\text{birth}}{255}\pi} - 1}{e - 1} \right)^3 & \text{if } \text{persistence} \geq \text{threshold}
\end{cases}
\] (4.2)

A threshold is a value that allows us to ignore noise. Therefore, the \textit{score} takes into account not only the persistence, but also other aspects such as dimension, \textit{birth}, and \textit{death} of topological features.

### 4.2 Contrast Limited Adapting Histogram Equalization (CLAHE)

When pixel values are concentrated in a narrow range, it is hard to perceive features visually. Histogram equalization makes the distribution of pixel values in the image balanced, thereby enhancing the image. However, this method often results in degrading the content of the image and also amplifying the noise. Therefore, it produces undesirable results. Contrast limited adapting histogram equalization (CLAHE) is a well-known method for compensating the weakness of histogram equalization by dividing an image into small sized blocks and performing histogram equalization for each block [59]. After completing histogram equalization in all blocks, bi-linear interpolation makes the boundary of the tiles (blocks) smooth. In this thesis, we apply CLAHE to the extraction of topological features process in an effort to finding features efficiently. An illustration of the CLAHE method on the APTOS data is given
Figure 4.1: Comparison of the original image and the CLAHE filtered image (a) Original image. (b) Persistence diagram of the original image (a). (c) CLAHE Filtered image, (d) Persistence diagram of the filtered image (c).

For extracting textual features, we use the well-known Gray Level Co-occurrence Matrix (GLCM) [49]. We used three distances (1, 2, 3) and four directions (0°, 45°, 90°, 135°) to get the GLCM features. From each of co-occurrence matrices, two global statistics were extracted: energy and homogeneity resulting in $3 \times 4 \times 2 = 24$ textual features for each image.

Table 4.1 gives a sample list of extracted features from the APTOS dataset. From the CLAHE filtered image, 144 features are extracted for each dimension. Similarly, 100 topological features for each dimension and 24 GLCM features are extracted from the original-gray level image.
| img | label | glcm1 | glcm2 | glcm3 | glcm4 | dim0_0 | dim0_1 | ... | dim0_99 | dim1_0 | dim1_1 | ... | dim1_99 | fdim0_0 | fdim0_1 | ... | fdim0_143 | fdim1_0 | fdim1_1 | ... | fdim1_143 |
|-----|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|--------|     |        |        |        |        |     |        |        |        |     |        |        |        |     |
| 0   | 2     | 0.1603| 0.1571| 0.4639|       | 1      | 0.0366 | ... | 0       | 1.2054 | 1.1815 | ... | 0     | 0.9999  | 0.2060  | ... | 0.0319  | 1.7698  | 1.6339  | ... | 1.1067  |
| ... |       |       |       |       |       |        |        |     |         |        |        |     |       |         |        |     |        |        |        |     |
| 3661| 2     | 0.1196| 0.1160| 0.5387| 0.9999| 0.0020 | 0      |     | 0.9999  | 1.4787 | 1.0636 | ... | 0     | 0.9999  | 0.1295  | ... | 0.0042  | 1.9493  | 1.3658  | ... | 1.10478 |

Table 4.1: Results of feature engineering process applied to the APTOS dataset
Chapter 5

Image Datasets

In this chapter, we give a brief description of the six published image datasets used in this work, which are collected from Mendelay, Tensorflow Dataset, and Kaggle competition. Also, Table 5.1 gives summarized information of datasets.

5.1 Concrete Crack Images for Classification

The concrete crack images for classification dataset \(^{55}\), contains a total of 40,000 images where each image consists of $227 \times 227$ pixels. It was collected from the METU campus building and consists of 2 states; 20,000 images of positive crack and 20,000 images of negative crack. A crack on an outer wall occurs as time goes on or due to natural aging. It is important to detect these cracks in terms of evaluating and predicting structural deterioration and reliability of buildings. Samples of the two types of images are shown in Figure 5.1.

5.2 APTOS Blindness Detection

APTOS blindness detection dataset is a set of retina images taken by fundus photography for detecting and preventing diabetic retinopathy from causing blindness.\(^1\) This dataset has 3,662 images and consists of 1,805 images diagnosed as non-diabetic retinopathy and 1,857 images diagnosed as diabetic retinopathy as shown in Figure 5.2. Figure 5.3 shows the distribution of examples in the four classes using a severity range from 1 to 4.

\(^{1}\)https://www.kaggle.com/c/aptos2019-blindness-detection/overview
5.3 Pest Classification in Mango Farms

*Pest classification in Mango farms* dataset [44] is a collection of 46,500 images of mango leaves affected by 15 different types of pests and one normal (unaffected) mango leaf as shown in Figure 5.5. Some of these pests can be detected visually.
Figure 5.3: Data distribution for the APTOS 2019 Blindness Detection dataset.

Figure 5.4 shows the data distribution of examples in the 15 classes of pests and one normal class.

5.4 Indian Fruits

The Indian fruits dataset contains 23,848 images that cover five popular fruits in India; apple, orange, mango, pomegranate, and tomato. This dataset includes variation of each fruit resulting in 40 classes. This dataset was already separated into training and testing sets as shown in Figure 5.6. Note, that this dataset has an
Figure 5.4: Data distribution for Pest classification in Mango farms dataset.

imbalanced class distribution.
Figure 5.5: Sample images of Pest classification in Mango farms.

5.5 Colorectal Histology

The colorectal histology dataset contains 5,000 histological images of different tissue types of colorectal cancer. It consists of 8 classes of tissue types with 625 images for each class as shown in Figure 5.7.

5.6 Fashion MNIST

The Fashion MNIST dataset is a collection 60,000 training images of fashion products as shown in Figure 5.8. It consists of $28 \times 28$ grayscale images labeled by one of 10 classes. Since the dataset contains an equal number of images for each class,
there are 6,000 test images in each class resulting in a balanced dataset.
Figure 5.7: Example of colorectal cancer histology. (a) tumour epithelium, (b) simple stroma, (c) complex stroma, (d) immune cell conglomerates, (e) debris and mucus, (f) mucosal glands, (g) adipose tissue, (h) background

Table 5.1 gives the dataset characteristics in terms of various image datasets used in this work. Also, we provide the preprocessing time per each image. For example, the feature extraction time for the concrete dataset was 5 hours 12 minutes.
Figure 5.8: Example of the Fashion MNIST dataset

Table 5.1: Datasets details with preprocessing times

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>Num of classes</th>
<th>Pixel Size</th>
<th>Balanced</th>
<th>time in secs/image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concrete</td>
<td>40,000</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>227×227</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.4713</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mangopest</td>
<td>46,000</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>from 500×333 to 1280×853</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.5394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian fruits</td>
<td>23,848</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>100×100</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.4422</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashion MNIST</td>
<td>60,000</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>28×28</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.4297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTOS</td>
<td>3,662</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>227×227</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.5393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorectal histology</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>150×150</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0.3218</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Chapter 6

Machine Learning Implementations and Results

6.1 Brief Description

In this thesis, the following machine learning algorithms were implemented: Deep Residual Network, decision tree, random forest, k-nearest neighbours, support vector machine, XGBoost, and light GBM.

6.1.1 Deep Residual Network (ResNet)

Deep Residual Network suggested by [31] is an ensemble of VGG-19 [47], plain network, and residual network as a solution to the network depth-accuracy degradation problem. This is done by a residual learning framework which is a feedforward network with a shortcut. Multi-scale 1D ResNet is used in this thesis where multiscale refers to flexible convolutional kernels rather than flexible strides [45]. The authors use different sizes of kernels so that the network can learn features from original signals with different views with multiple scales. The structure of the model is described in Figure 6.1. The 1D ResNet model [45] consists of a number of subblock of the basic CNN blocks. A basic CNN block computes batch normalization after convolution for
input as,

\[ y = W \otimes x + b \]
\[ s = \text{BN}(y) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.1)
\[ h = \text{ReLU}(s) \]

where \( \otimes \) denotes convolution operator and BN is a batch normalization operator. Also, stacking two basic CNN blocks forms subblock of the basic CNN blocks as,

\[ h_1 = \text{Basic}(x) \]
\[ h_2 = \text{Basic}(h_1) \]
\[ y = h_2 + x \]
\[ \hat{h} = \text{ReLU}(y) \]  \hspace{1cm} (6.2)

where Basic operator denotes the basic block as in 6.1. Following these process, it is possible to construct multiple subblocks of CNN with different kernel sizes.

For our experiments, for training the network, 100 epochs was used with a 0.01 learning rate. In addition, we used an early stopping option if there is no improvement in the validation loss after 20 epochs. Therefore, a number of epochs for each training experiment is different.
6.1.2 Classification and Regression Tree

Typically, the decision tree learns from top to down recursively, choosing the best attribute to construct the tree by partitioning the training data. There are several versions of decision tree algorithms such as ID3, C4.5, and CART \cite{53,29}. The Classification and Regression Tree algorithm (CART) implementation was used in this study with the following parameters: Gini index as the criterion, best as splitter and unlimited depth.

6.1.3 Random Forest

Random forest is a widely used learning method and is an ensemble of multiple decision trees where the training set is drawn at random from distributions sampled independently and meant to reduce the impact of overfitting with a single tree \cite{8}. 200 trees in the forest were used with gini as a criterion, and unlimited depth so nodes can be expanded until all leaves are pure.

6.1.4 k-Nearest Neighbors (kNN)

$k$-nearest neighbors is a distance-based non-parametric supervised learning \cite{4} used for classification and regression problems. However, since it is sensitive to data with large dimensions, a proper choice of $k$ becomes important. In our experiments, we use $k = 5$ and Minkowski as a metric.

6.1.5 Support Vector Machines (SVM)

Support vector machines map input vectors into a hyperplane which implies high-dimensional space and construct an optimal separating hyperplane \cite{68,16}. When the hyperplane splits the data, it computes the distance, margin, between the hyperplane and its nearest attribute. SVM implements kernel functions which allows for attributes with higher dimensions to be separated linearly. Besides the linear case, SVM's based on polynomials, splines, radial basis function networks and multilayer perceptrons \cite{64}, have been successfully applied in several areas for example in life-sciences \cite{52}. Radial bias function (rbf), and a regularization parameter $C = 10$ was used in our experiments.
6.1.6 Gradient Boosting Machine (XGBoost, lightGBM)

While the random forest method is an ensemble bagging method using decision trees, \textit{gradient boosting machine} (GBM) uses boosting \cite{27} to train the model (decision trees) by adding new weak models consecutively with the negative gradient from the loss function and is one of the most successful machine learning models in recent years. XGBoost, \textit{extreme gradient boosting} \cite{14, 13}, is widely applied in many fields due to its accuracy and rapid learning compare to the original GBM. Both XGBoost and LightGBM \cite{39} are advanced models of gradient boosting machines. The main idea of this model is to make accurate predictions by combining some weak models so that it makes the model robust to outliers and flexible to customize \cite{51}. XGBoost is a histogram-based algorithm that uses bins to split the features into a discrete, therefore, it is more efficient than the pre-sorted method of the conventional GBM. LightGBM combines two techniques: Gradient-based One-Side Sampling and Exclusive Feature Bundling \cite{57}. Therefore, the main difference between LightGBM and XGBoost is that LightGBM uses a leaf-wise growth algorithm, whereas XGBoost is a level-wise growth algorithm. Because the leaf-wise growth algorithm compares nodes and uses leaves of higher gradient only, LightGBM is much faster than XGBoost. Both of algorithms utilize 1000 estimators ($n\text{-estimators} = 1000$) with a base score of 0.5.

6.2 Implementation details

In this thesis, a variety of well-known supervised learning algorithms using packages from the Python ecosystem supported by scikit-learn \cite{9} were used. All tests are conducted using a desktop workstation with Intel i7-9700K at 3.6 GHz, 8 CPU cores, 16GB RAM and Gigabyte GeForce RTX 2020 GPU. To a large extent, the implementation follows pipeline shown below:

Data Collection $\rightarrow$ Feature Engineering $\rightarrow$ Training the models $\rightarrow$ Evaluating the model performance

Data sets used for benchmarking were collected from various sources that include Mendelay, Tensorflow dataset, and Kaggle competition. Feature engineering and learning algorithms were implemented with Python libraries: Gudhi \cite{18, 10} for calculating persistent homology, PyTorch \cite{56} for modeling and execution of ResNet...
1D, and scikit-learn \[58\] for implementation of other machine learning algorithms. Also, libraries such as NumPy \[30\] and pandas \[48\] were used for computing matrices and analyzing the data structure.

### 6.2.1 Evaluation Criteria

The performance of the machine learning models was evaluated using *accuracy* and *weighted F1 score*. After the model is trained, predicted labels are compared with true labels and these are separated as *True Positive* (TP), *True Negative* (TN), *False Positive* (FP), and *False Negative* (FN).

**Definition 14.** *Accuracy* is the proportion of correctly predicted samples from all samples.

\[
\text{Accuracy} = \frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN} \tag{6.3}
\]

The accuracy metric gives us intuitive performance of model, however, this metric has weakness in the case of imbalanced data. Therefore, we use F1 score.

**Definition 15.** *Precision* is the proportion of true positives from those samples predicted as true

\[
\text{Precision} = \frac{TP}{TP + FP} \tag{6.4}
\]

**Definition 16.** *Recall* is the proportion of true positive from those samples that are actually true.

\[
\text{Recall} = \frac{TP}{TP + FN} \tag{6.5}
\]

**Definition 17.** *F1 score* is the harmonic mean of the precision and recall.

\[
\text{F1 score} = 2 \times \frac{\text{Precision} \times \text{Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \tag{6.6}
\]

When *F1 score* is calculated for each label, it is called *weighted F1 score* which is suitable for imbalanced data. Since scikit-learn supports *F1 score* metric, we evaluate the performance using this library.
6.3 Analysis of results

Table 6.1 gives the accuracy, weighted F1 score, and run-time information for each of the datasets. In addition, the accuracy score reported with the benchmark datasets is given in the related works column. The best result is indicated in blue. We also revisit the characteristics of the datasets which is summarized in Table 5.1. Overall, ResNet 1D outperforms other ML algorithms while different types of gradient boosting machines show fairly good accuracy and weighted F1 score. When it comes to the binary classification problems, as in the Concrete dataset, most of the algorithms achieve 0.99 accuracy and F1 score. However, for the multi-class problem, the performance of SVM and kNN gets worse, mainly due to the difficulty of parameter setting. The proposed methods perform significantly worse than the benchmark with the Fashion MNIST and APTOS datasets. This is because it is hard to obtain good trainable topological signatures from the images that have low resolution even though Fashion MNIST was resized. In the case of the APTOS dataset, imbalanced training data is the main cause of poor results. Label 0 indicates the absence of diabetic retinopathy and has the highest number of images (See Figure 5.3). However, the presence of diabetic retinopathy can be found in 4 classes of which label 2 (severity level 2.0) has the most number of cases. As a result, more than half of examples were classified as label 2 (See Figure 6.2.(b)). Imbalanced data such as Mangopest and Indian fruits were classified well because there were sufficient training examples. In summary, the best classification performance using cubical homology with the ResNet 1D classifier was obtained for 3 out of 6 datasets. The topological signatures were not helpful in the classification of the Fashion MNIST and APTOS images. With the concrete dataset, the result is comparable with only slight difference (≤.005) with the benchmark result. Confusion matrices that shows hard to classify types are given in Figures 6.2, 6.3 and 6.4. It is noteworthy that for these datasets, application of cubical homology has led to meaningful results in 4 out of 6 datasets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Weighted F1</th>
<th>run time</th>
<th>Related works</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>concrete</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.989</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mangoess</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.999</td>
<td>0.994</td>
<td>0.995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indian Fruits</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.996</td>
<td>0.996</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fashion MNIST</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.741</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>APTOS</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.732</td>
<td>0.732</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colorectal histology</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.892</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.892</td>
<td>0.892</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.1: Accuracy and weighted F1 score for each dataset.
Figure 6.2: Confusion matrices with ResNet 1D
Figure 6.3: Confusion matrix for the Mango pest dataset with ResNet 1D implementation
Figure 6.4: Confusion matrix for the Indian fruits dataset with ResNet 1D implementation
Chapter 7

Conclusion

In this thesis, we address the problem of construction of feature vectors based on cubical homology for different types of 2D images with varying pixel sizes, classes and distributions. We then study the effect of these topological features on selected machine learning models. In the process of extracting features, we proposed a score that filters out low persistence features and transforms the input image into a 1-dimensional array. We implemented ResNet 1D, lightGBM, XGBoost, and other well-known ML methods with the data obtained from our proposed feature engineering process. We used the accuracy, weighted F1 score, and execution time to compare the classification performance of 8 algorithms. Our experiments demonstrate that in three out of six datasets, our proposed method outperforms the results from the benchmark methods. However, with two datasets, the performance of our proposed method is poor, due to low resolution and imbalanced dataset respectively. This work reveals that application of cubical homology to image classification shows promise especially with ResNet1D deep learning algorithm.

7.1 Future Research Directions

Since conversion of input images to 2D data, is very time consuming, future work will involve seeking more efficient ways to reduce the time for pre-processing.

- **Score** Although the proposed scoring method works well for most image datasets, we may consider how this score handles the situation when an image has low-resolution and data distribution is imbalanced. In addition, optimization of the threshold value is also part of the future work.
• **Pre-processing** The run time for pre-processing is significant for the entire dataset. For example, in the worst case, the pre-processing time for the *Fashion MNIST* dataset was 7.1 hours. Decreasing the pre-processing time will contribute to the overall performance of the pipeline.

• **Deep learning model** ResNet 1D model is designed to use 512 features as input. However, we get far less than 512 topological features for each image so that the data contains dispensable features. Therefore, we may improve the ResNet 1D model by designing the model to require fewer features.

• **Feature Engineering** Future work would also involve performing correlation analysis to evaluate the importance of the derived features.
Appendix A

Snapshots from the Experiments

In this appendix, we present some snapshots from our experiments especially feature engineering in order to provide the reader a better illustration of our experimental environment.

A.1 Feature Engineering

A.1.1 Score Metric

In Figure A.1 we give a snapshot from definition of the score which we proposed in Section 4.1. To ignore noise, we set threshold for persistence less than 10. Also, for convenient calculation, death will be 1 if a component persists infinitely.

A.1.2 Extraction of Topological Feature

Persistent homology is computed by GUDHI library and this library requires perseus format for cubical homology. Perseus format consists of multiple lines of single number where the first line indicates the dimension of the image (2 in this case), second & third lines indicate number of cubes each dimension, and following lines are birth time of each cube from bottom left to top right. Figure A.2 shows the process of converting the image to perseus format. We regard each pixel as cube and its value as its time of birth.

Figure A.3 shows part of the process of extraction of topological features. We get $p_{data}$ after computing persistent homology, score will be calculated for each dimension of subcomplex. Then, we arrange scores in descending orders.
A.1.3 GLCM computation

In Figure A.4 we show a snapshot of GLCM computation. We define 3 distances, 4 angles, and 2 properties. Therefore, we get 24 GLCM features after computation.
def nparray2perseus(arr):
    f_perseus = 'temp.txt'
    temp = open(f_perseus, mode='w', encoding='utf-8')

    # write dimension of image in first line
    data = (\"%d\" % arr.ndim)
    temp.write(data)

    # write number of row and column consecutively
    for i in range(arr.ndim):
        data = (\"\n%d\" % arr.shape[1])
        temp.write(data)

    # write pixel value from bottom to top
    for i in range(arr.shape[0]):
        for j in range(arr.shape[1]):
            p_val = arr[arr.shape[0]-i-1][j]
            data = (\"\n%d\" % p_val)
            temp.write(data)
    temp.close()
return f_perseus

# Extract Topological Features for grayscale image
f_perseus = i2p.nparray2perseus(imGray)
cubical_complex = gd.CubicalComplex(perseus_file = f_perseus)
p_data = cubical_complex.persistence()
p_data.sort()

dim0_data=[]
dim1_data=[]
for idx, data in enumerate(p_data):
    if data != p_data[idx-1]:
        if data[0]==1:
            val=cal_score(1, data[1][0], data[1][1], thd=thh)
            dim1_data.append(val)

        else:
            val=cal_score(0, data[1][0], data[1][1], thd=thh)
            dim0_data.append(val)

dim1_data.sort(reverse=True)
dim0_data.sort(reverse=True)

Figure A.2: Converting image to perseus format.

Figure A.3: Extraction of topological features.
Figure A.4: Extraction of GLCM features.
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